PRINCIPLE OF LOYAL OPPOSITION: THE CASE OF POLITICAL PARTIES IN NIGERIA'S FOURTH REPUBLIC

Olu Awofeso, Ph.D

Department of Political Science, Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife, Nigeria

Paul A. Irabor

Department of Political Science, Kings University, Odeomu, Nigeria

ABSTRACT

Modern understandings of democracy not only suggest a regime in which those who govern are selected through contested elections, but more fundamentally, a system of government in which parties lose elections. Yet, the mechanism of vertical accountability whereby the people can hold the ruling government responsible depends on parties in opposition providing choices for voters while remaining loyal to the idea of governmental power. Adopting the principle of loyal opposition as the basis of the study in the Nigerian context, we try to interrogate whether the duty to serve as "government in-waiting" equally affects the way in which the duty to critique the actions of the government is performed. The study further probes; can a ruling party cope with the criticism of the opposition party? To answer these questions, the study argued that it is tempting not to assume that, albeit the successful democratic transition since 1999 and the alternation of power resulting in the change of party in government from the People's Democratic Party to the All Progressives Congress in 2015, the institution of political party is still at its lowest ebb, affecting the principle of loyal opposition, which have consequences on democratic stability in Nigeria.

Keywords: Political Party, Opposition party, Ruling Party, Loyal Opposition, Legitimacy

1.Introduction

Evidence continues to highlight competition among political parties within a framework that guarantees equal chances for all as one of the fundamental characteristics of a democratic regime (Adeola, 2014; Dahl, 1973; Salih and Nordlund, 2007). As such, political regimes that do not allow political opposition and competition among political parties for the votes of the people are not competitive and as a consequence, not democratic (Doorenspleet, 2003; Dolo, 2006). Sincemany alternativesexist, and are supported by different political parties, with some of them starkly opposed to one another, coupled with the believe that democracy should encouragefreedom of opposition parties to air their views, the principle of loyal opposition has

International Journal of Politics and Good Governance Volume IX, No. 9.3 Quarter III 2018

ISSN: 0976 - 1195

become pertinent, not only as a means of testing the loyalty of the opposition partyto the idea and practice of legitimate government but also for checking the excesses of the ruling party.

The concept of loyal opposition connotes that groups or associations in the society may make constructive criticism against the governing partywhile remaining loyal to the idea/principle of government power (Anastaplo, 2004; Jung and Shapiro, 1995; Waldron, 2012).Loyal opposition therefore, is central to the running of political parties because opposition political parties reserves the right to contest election and hope for better luck next time (to take control of government power)when the winners policies are flawed, turn out to have been disastrous or unpopular in their implementation (Waldron, 2012).However, while this is true of most political parties across the globe, the lack of ideology in Nigerian parties left much to be desired as cross-carpeting and inter-party conflict seems rife (Agbaje and Adejumobi, 2006; Fashagba, 2014). Partof the criticism of lack of ideology of political parties in Nigeria is on the pursuit of selfish agendaby opposition parties to unseat the ruling partywithout clear cut idea of what to do in government. Thus, Awofeso and Irabor (2017) was of the opinion that, in spite of the criticism by the All Progressive Congress (APC) in the build up to the 2015 general election in Nigeria, it is just a political strategy to depose the People's Democratic Party (PDP) from power. This method of opposition politics defies the principle of loyal opposition. As Jennings puts it:

Irresponsible opposition is not part of democratic government, though many democratic states have never learned that lesson...system alone can produce a responsible opposition, one which is not anxious to win at the expense of ruining the game (Jennings, 1959: 49-50).

Moreover, in what could be seen as the subterfuge and might of the then ruling party (PDP)over the opposition party was the controversy that arose over claims to the acronym 'APC' by two other political parties (African People's Congress and All Patriotic Citizens) in the build up to the 2015 general election. The claims were considered attempts by the PDPto thwart the successful alliance of the All Progressive Congress ahead of the general election (Owete, 2013). Given the central role of political parties and party politics in Nigeria, the essay thereforeinvestigate the politicking of opposition party which led to change of party in government in the 2015 general election in Nigeria. It further examines the prospect of a ruling party to tolerate the criticisms of the opposition parties. This is with a view to examining the

nexus between opposition politics and loyal opposition in a developing democratic country, like

Nigeria.

2.1. Perspectives on Political Party and Party System

To have government in place in any society, there is bound to be political parties that are to

contest vigorously to form such a government. Parties help with the formation of governments to

the extent that it is possible to talk of party government (Ojukwu and Olaifa, 2011; Odukoya

2013). It is within this context that Hofmeister and Grabow (2011) asserts that political party,

being a social group, is characterized by a high degree of rational direction of behaviour towards

ends that are objects of common acknowledgement and expectation. Political party is different

from other social groups because of the functions it performs such as organising public opinion,

communicating demands to the centre of governmental decision-making and political

recruitment.

Most studies have described political party as any political group identified by official label and

ideology that presents at elections, and is capable of placing through election, candidates for

public office (Downs, 1957; Sartori, 1976). Similarly, Likoti (2005) posit that political party is

an organised group of people with similar political aims and opinions that seek to influence

public policy by getting its candidate elected to public office. However, further studies have

interrogated this claims of political party as a body of people united for promoting joint

endeavors; to win election and dominate the organs of national leadership. The interest of the

dominant socio-political forces that saw to the formation of party will usually have overriding

influences on relationships between various organs and structures of the party (Awofeso and

Irabor, 2017; Ake, 1973; Doorenspleet, 2003). To this extent, political party is also seen as a

collection of people, a union of small groups dispersed through the country (branches, caucuses,

localassociations, etc.) and linked by coordinating institution(Awofeso and Irabor, 2017;

Doorenspleet, 2003).

Party system reflects the pattern of relationships between individual parties in relation to each

other (Hofmeister and Grabow, 2011). Generally, party systems may be grouped into three broad

categories: one party system, two party system and multi-party system. In a dominant one-party

system, one party is constantly in government and often governs alone. The general practice in a

one-party system is to coalesce all the interest groups, ideological views and party loyalty into

Volume IX, No. 9.3 Quarter III 2018

ISSN: 0976 - 1195

one mainstream. Since there is only one party in this system, studies (Odukoya, 2013; Rodee, 2000; Webb, David and Holliday, 2002) revealsthat it does not give room for democratic stability of the country as the government may become absolute and dictatorial. In a two-party system, two parties of equivalent size compete for office, and each has a more or less equal chance of winning sufficient electoral support to gain executive monopoly (Rodee, 2000). Proponents of two-party system argue that government effectiveness is higher as it allows for a unified decisive leadership hence, coherent policies and fast decision making can be promoted (Burnell, 2001; Webb, David and Holliday, 2002). However, Lijphart (1999) contend that if the programmes of the two parties are both close to the political centre, they will be very similar to each other and, instead of offering a meaningful choice to the voters, are more likely to echo each other. Multi-party system provides for the existence of several political parties and as such, no party comes close to a majority status. (Odaudu, 2012; Odukoya, 2013). Moreover, multi-party in Nigeria have seen politics as a matter of "do-or-die affairs". Thus, there have been several cases of intra and inter party conflict, cross carpeting and electoral violence etc. which tend to impede party system institutionalization.

2.2 Opposition Politics in Democratic System

Although the study of opposition politics may be said to be rooted in Dahl's (1973)first systematic treatment of the subject, it has not been matched by comparable subsequent studies particularly in developing countries where one party is believed to dominate the political systemand as a result, opposition politics remains an inadequately exploredarea of political science (Beyme, 1987; Lawson, 1993). Opposition politics denotes an organised partisan movement dedicated to opposing and possibly replacing an incumbent government (Adeola, 2014; Adeola, and Akinyemi, 2014). It is founded upon a system of elections and limited terms of office in the elected branches of the government. Such a system offers recognised opportunities for the challengers of those in power.

Jung and Shapiro (1995) argue that the role of opposition parties in democratic setting is threefold. The first is functional in that, should a government lose election, there is the possibility of a peaceful handover of power among elites. Opposition parties are therefore sites for counterelites to form and campaign as potential alternative governments. The second role of opposition is to legitimise the democratic political order. In this sense, institutional space is created to ensure that discontent and dissatisfaction can be directed at the government of the day rather than

at the democratic regime itself. As such, the right to criticise and compete against the

government is not forfeited. Lastly, opposition is to ensure the presence of healthy political

debate. Opposition encourages competition over ideas among elites and counter-elites, which

leads to demands for reason-giving and coherence in public debate (Lawson, 1993).

The prospect for institutionalisation and consolidation of opposition parties is a function of

regime turnover through elections (International Institute for Democracy and Electoral

Assistance, 2007). However, evidence suggests that Africans' commitment to democracy decays

in the absence of alternation. (Bratton, 2004). Lending credence to these positions, we could infer

that thefailure of a system to allow credible opposition in any democratic regimemay limit the

public's real choices for the emergence of a responsive andrepresentative political system.

2.3 Loyal Opposition

In the democratic world, the principle of loyal opposition has evolved into two but mutually non-

exclusive ideas. On the one hand, it presupposes opposition that is loyal to the democratic order

ratherthan the government of the day. On the other hand, those who exercise power are obliged

to tolerate the opinions and the organised political efforts of all significant elements in the

country (Anastaplo, 2004; Jung and Shapiro, 1995; Waldron, 2012). In essence, the continuing

responsiveness of government to the preferences of its citizens, facilitated by opportunities for

citizens to formulate their preferences and have these preferences weighed equally in the conduct

of government depends on effective opposition that is loyal to the constitutional order

(Anastaplo, 2004; Waldron, 2012). Opposition parties, therefore, through constructive criticism

can replace the government of the country, a government that in turn will be opposed according

to the same rules. The Government is thus put on notice that oppositionare equipped and

prepared to replace it.

The concept date back to 1826 in a debate in the British parliament of the term His Majesty's

Opposition (Anastaplo, 2004). AsSchmitz (1988:1013) puts it, "England invented the phrase,

'Her [or, His] Majesty's Opposition'; been the first government which made a criticism of

administration as much a part of the polity as administration itself. It was intended to illustrate

that members of Parliament may oppose the policies of the incumbent government - typically

comprising parliamentarians from the party with the most seats in the elected legislative

chambers - while maintaining deference to the authority of the state and the larger framework

within which democracy operates.

Evidently, there is no institution of opposition in presidential system of government compare to

parliamentary system of government. Moreover, we locate a common ground why the principle

is also applicable in presidential system of government as the case of Nigeria. First, there exist

some positions in the roles of minority party and minority leader in the legislature (e.g. the

position of minority party leader in the Nigeria National and Houses of Assembly). More so, the

common practice with presidential system of government is that the ruling party assume office

through election contested by two or more parties. Thus, while the ruling party mayexercise

power at the National level, opposition party may exist either at the State Executive level or

National\State Legislature. Furthermore, a change of government can also occur with a change of

party in presidential system of government. For instance, the 2015 general election in Nigeria

saw the emergence of the All Progressives Congress (APC) in place of the People's Democratic

Party (PDP) who has been in government since 1999. No matter the position it finds itself (either

existing at the National or State legislature/State Executive), what is important is that, opposition

party should scrutinise the government, hold them accountable for their decision, limit the

extremity of the government's action, arouse public criticism of any dangerous policy, and make

the government behave reasonably while maintaining loyalty to the legitimate government

(Jennings, 1959; Schmitz, 1988).

In most developing countries like Nigeria, where politics is seen as a "dirty game", the principle

of loyal opposition seem impracticable. The contending issue has been weather the ruling party

can accommodate the (constructive)criticism of the opposition parties or weather the opposition

parties, while serving as "government in-waiting" can adhere to the principle of loyal

opposition.

3.1. Loyal Opposition: Exploring Practical Discourse in Nigeria's Fourth Republic Parties

...a key component of democracy is the toleration of dissent by the ruling party and dissenters do not

engage in violating the rights of others and use of force, deception or fraud to pursue their interests

and goals (Network of Ethiopian Scholars, 2005:6).

International Journal of Politics and Good Governance Volume IX, No. 9.3 Quarter III 2018

ISSN: 0976 - 1195

In view of the above quotation, we then ask; when shouldopposition parties be regarded as disloyal to the democratic order? On the other hand, how has the ruling party use its power to undermine or weakened the quality of opposition party? These posersset the pace for discourse in this section. The fact needs be restated that Nigeria operate a multi-party system and until the 2015 general election which brought in the APC in control of power, the PDP notonly dominate the national government but also spread its tentacle in majority of the states for sixteen years. From 1999 till 2015, Nigeria's 36 States have experienced 125 Governors – both elected, impeached, and State Administrators. All these administrations have been under ten political parties while the PDP has produced the most Governors, as represented in the table below:

Table 3.1: Political parties in government across the 36 States of Nigeria from 1999-2015

State	1999	2003	2007	2011	2015
Abia	PDP	PDP	PDP	PDP	PDP
Adamawa	PDP	PDP	PDP	PDP	PDP
Akwa Ibom	PDP	PDP	PDP	PDP	PDP
Anambra	PDP	APGA	APGA	APGA	APGA
Bauch	PDP	PDP	ANPP	PDP	APC
Bayelsa	PDP	PDP	PDP	PDP	PDP
Benue	PDP	PDP	PDP	PDP	APC
Borno	ANPP	ANPP	ANPP	ANPP	APC
Cross River	PDP	PDP	PDP	PDP	PDP
Delta	PDP	PDP	PDP	PDP	PDP
Ebonyi	PDP	PDP	PDP	PDP	PDP
Edo	PDP	PDP	PDP	APC	APC
Ekiti	AD	PDP	PDP	ACN	PDP
Enugu	PDP	PDP	PDP	PDP	PDP
Gombe	APP	PDP	PDP	PDP	PDP
Imo	PDP	PDP	PDP	APGA	APC
Jigawa	APP	APP	PDP	PDP	APC
Kaduna	PDP	PDP	PDP	PDP	APC
Kano	PDP	ANPP	ANPP	PDP	APC

International Journal of Politics and Good Governance Volume IX, No. 9.3 Quarter III 2018

ISSN: 0976 - 1195

Kastina	PDP	PDP	PDP	PDP	APC
Kebbi	APP	APP	PDP	PDP	APC
Kogi	APP	PDP	PDP	PDP	PDP
Kwara	ANPP	PDP	PDP	PDP	APC
Lagos	AD	AC	AC	ACN	APC
Nasarawa	PDP	PDP	PDP	CPC	APC
Niger	PDP	PDP	PDP	PDP	APC
Ogun	AD	PDP	PDP	ACN	APC
Ondo	AD	PDP	PDP	LP	PDP
Osun	AD	PDP	PDP	ACN	APC
Oyo	AD	PDP	PDP	ACN	APC
Plateau	PDP	PDP	PDP	PDP	APC
Rivers	PDP	PDP	PDP	PDP	PDP
Sokoto	APP	APP	PDP	PDP	APC
Taraba	PDP	PDP	PDP	PDP	PDP
Yobe	APP	APP	ANPP	ANPP	APC
Zamfara	ANPP	ANPP	PDP	ANPP	APC

Source: African Elections Database, 2015.

While opposition parties such as the Action Congress of Nigeria (ACN), Congress for Progressive Change (CPC), Labour Party (LP) and All Progressives Grand Alliance (APGA) to mention but a few, struggle to assert their role by playing politics of criticism (albeit subjective in some ways) and providing choices for voters as alternative, electoral malpractices represents part of a more general scheme of the PDP to force opposition parties into the ruling party, therebyweakened the opposition parties and govern without constrained by public opinion and prospects of losing elections. The control of the nation's security apparatus, unopposed access to vast resources and the powers of appointing electoral commission officials, being the powers accorded to the ruling party in presidential system of government enable the PDP to skew and manipulate elections in their favour (Omilusi, 2017). Further study revealed that the military idiosyncrasy of the former President (Olusegun Obansanjo) paved the way for the PDP to consolidate power for sixteen years (Cooke, 2015). Since politics in Nigeria is often played out as zero-sum game where anything other than to gain political power is not considered worthwhile,

political opponents usually had no choice than to join the ruling alliance or suffer exclusion and lack of access to resources(Awofeso and Irabor 2016; Okechukwu and Andrew, 2014).

The political calculation in the country took a dramatic turn in the build to the 2015 general election. Public cynicism against perceived PDPineffective governance, rampant corruption of public officials and insecurityhad risenand moreover, the crisis in the party had degenerated into factions (Gbadegesin, 2015; Okohue, 2013; Omilusi, 2017). Opposition parties was to take advantage of the rising development for a change of party in government, and on July 31st 2013, the Independent National Electoral Commission approved the application of four political parties (ACN, CPC, ANPP and a faction of APGA) to merge into one to be known as the All Progressive Congress (APC) in what Awofeso and Irabor (2017) described as a calculated attempt of the opposition parties to garnered more support over the PDP to win the 2015 general election. Indeed, attempt was made by the PDP to thwart the successful alliance of the opposition parties. It was reasoned that the claimed to the acronym "APC" by two political parties (African People's Congress and All Patriotic Citizens) was an attempt by the PDP to foil the mergeragainst the background of massive defection of a faction of PDP (New PDP) to the APC and the public support the party had gained during electioneering campaign (Owete, 2013). According to Gbadegesin (2015), the change campaign slogan of the APC resonated with a substantial majority of Nigerians culminating in the historical defeat of an incumbent president for the first time in Nigeria history.

On the other hand, what could be term as inordinate ambition of the APC was exhibited depose the PDP from government at all cost. One of the condition for understanding opposition politics as postulated by Ebienfa (2014) is, among other factors, the individuals and groups that criticise the programmes and policies of the ruling party. It is within this context that we tend to analyse the ideology and policies of the APC and the series of defections and cross-carpeting that accompanied the 2015 general election. It is one matter to criticise, quite another to offer an alternative. When asked how the APC policies would differ from those of PDP during the 2015 electioneering campaign, the former National Publicity Secretary of the APC, Alhaji Lai Mohammed was quoted to have said:

I'll be very frank. I don't think the PDP really has any policies...Remember, our party is a product of the merger of three independent opposition political parties and this is what is going [to] make this particular election different from other elections.

Until now, the ruling party [then PDP] has enjoyed a free ride, there has been no effective opposition because there were only small regional, ethnic-based parties. But after almost 15 years, the leaders of the small parties came to the conclusion that to get the PDP out of power, to change the status quo, we must come together to give the ruling party a run for its money (Punch Newspaper, 2015:10).

With the recent crisis the APC is embroiled, it is not surprising that the party had not taken time to understand its ideology through which it intend to implement its "change policies" as politicians continued to decamp to the party just to benefit from the advantages that power brings. Gbadegeshin (2015:48) succinctly put the APC scenario thus:

...But since its assumption of responsibility for the running of the nation, it [APC] has struggled with its own inner demons, to the delight of its main rival [PDP]. It appears that the party of change has not been well prepared for the discipline that it takes to govern with a mission of change.

Adesola and Akinyemi (2014) maintain that the most advanced method of opposition politics similar to Western type are parties that put the ruling party "on its toes". This is regarded as the Western style of party competition that can encourage party institutionalisation in the absence of friction among the organs in a political system for a long period (Adeola, 2014). Against this background was the height of hate-speechin the run-up to the 2015 elections, was tense and acrimonious, as both the PDP and the APC hurled insults and accusations against each other. A principal aspect of this discussion was the statement directed to the former President (Goodluck Jonanthan) during the 2015 electioneering campaign. Olawale (2015:15) vividly recounts Rotimi Fashakina Chieftain in APC) thus:

The president is clueless as much as confused...This government has lost its mind and its bearing. The president is leading Nigeria on the road to hell and on the path to Kigali. He need[s] to learn that governance demands more than hisslothful approach to issues that affects the common man....People no longer believe in this government again to protect them. We [APC] are fully ready and prepared to govern come 2015...But let me warn, PDP should get prepared for grave and farreaching consequences if the forthcoming election is mar with irregularities because as I speak, plans are ongoing to ensure that the election is inconclusive and we cannot close our eyes against it.

The above quotation makes mockery of a legitimate government and typify act of desperation of the APC with no issue-based criticism to offer the electorate. The treatment of such speech as criminal in the view of Anastaplo (2004) is based on the dangers in it; it is likely to undermine confidence in government policies and in the official incumbents.

3.2. 2015 General Election: From Opposition Party to Ruling Party (Has the APC Fair Better?)

Since the assumption of the APC-led government in 2015 as the ruling party, nonetheless it "change" slogan, the government have had to deal with challenging issues such as rising cost of living and the Dollar, increased in the pump price of petroleum product, Herdsmen crisis, continued terrorist attacked in the Northeast, even as political corruption remained unabated (Jubril, 2017; Omilusi, 2017). A comparison of Goodluck JonathanPDPadministrationandMuhammadu BuhariAPC administration is put in a table below:

Table 3.2: Comparing Jonathan with Buhari Administration

Goodluck Jonathan's PDP Administration	Muhammadu Buhari's APC				
	Administration				
Price of fuel per liter was sold for N87	Price of fuel per liter is sold for N145				
N1bn was budgeted for feeding in Aso-Rock	N1.7billion was budgeted for same in 2016				
in 2011, and N517m in 2015					
N1.4bn was used to service presidential jets in	N6bn was used to service presidential jets				
one year	within 6month of the administration				
An average of N8million was spent on each	An average of N55million is expended on				
international trip	each international trip.				
According to the Federal Bureau of Statistics,	According to the Federal Bureau of Statistics,				
over 5.8millon jobs was created in 5years	over 2million workers were sacked in				
	8months.				
Naira exchange rate was at N185 to \$1	Naira exchange rate sells at N315 to \$1				

Source: Nairaland Forum; Comparing Jonathan with Buhari Administration-Politics, Sunday, 06th March 2016)

More so, emerging trend in the country seem to portraythe anti-corruption war of the ruling APC as mainly targeted at opposition politicians, a ploy targeted to silence opposition politics of the

PDP. While the Economic and Financial Crimes Commission (EFCC) has gone after PDP bigwigs like the former Governor of Jigawa state(Sule Lamido), former Governor of Akwa Ibom state (Godswill Akpabio), former National Security Adviser (Sambo Dasuki) and former PDP National Publicity Secretary (Olisa Metuh) on account of corruption and embezzlement of public funds when in government, APC stalwarts accused of the same financial crimes like the former Governor of River State (Rotimi Amaechi), former Governor of Bayelsa State (Timipre Sylva),

former Governor of Anambra State (Chris Ngige) etc. were not prosecuted (Aribisala, 2015).

Much more than the membership based of a party in terms of quantity of members, the quality and viability of a party in terms of ideological stance, manifestoes and organisational structure should be considered as a means to an end. In view of this position, Awofeso and Irabor, (2017) as well Ebije (2017) opine that the merger of political parties (ACN, CPC, ANPP a faction of APGA PDP) which produced the APC could impede the existence of a viable opposition party in Nigeria. With the combined force of these four parties, a supposedly multi-party system may coalesce to two party system where there exit a dominant ruling party and a weakening opposition party. Similarly, since political parties are formed by individuals that share the same political ideology, that hold common vision and mission statement, it could be observed that the lack of ideology in Nigeria political parties might be the major bane of intra-party conflict currently manifesting in the ruling APC. Thus, the APC can be related to the Tower of Babel, and like it was in ancient time, building the change mantra Tower would certainly be an impossible task.

It is tempting to assume that the success of the APC in the 2015 general election is because of the number of supporters it could garnered through the merging of different political parties. We try to negate this assumption with Michels (1942)Iron Law of Oligarchy, through which modern democratic societies and, within those societies, the most advanced parties have been unable to escape. He aver that revolutions sometimes occur in history when the aristocracy becomes corrupt and inefficient not because the masses have objection to being ruled by aristocracy but would like to be ruled by another aristocracy. We could infer from this position that the political climate during the 2015 general election was ripe for a new set of oligarchy to replace the PDP oligarchy and not because the APC is an "alternative government" in the true sense of the word nor the number of members it could garnered through the merging of parties. In essence, the ruling oligarchy of the APC, just like the PDP, may later be replaced by another set of oligarchy.

True to this perception is the speculations for the formation of a mega party by the PDP and

other minority parties to challenge the perceived domination of the APC; there seem to be no end

in sight to the politics of alignment and re-alignment in Nigeria politics. While the game of

number is not to be undermined in game politics, opportunity abound for party discipline and

partycoherence with less desperation of parties when opposition party win election without

forming coalition against the ruling party.

4.Conclusion

The hope for the party that can offer a viable and constructive criticism against the ruling APC

lays on the PDP because of its membership base and the fact that it held sway as the ruling party

from 1999 to 2015. The PDP should conduct itself with the understanding that the country is

more worthy of allegiance than the ambitions of gaining political power from the APC. While

ambition is not ruled out, it should be an ambition to be recognised as a viable opposition.

Moreover, the APC should learn to cope with the criticism of the opposition parties. Certain

principles and ends are presupposed, standards that are far more important than the politics of

consolidating political power. More so, there should be opportunity for opposition parties to hold

contrasting views to air their view freely and without any form of intimidation.

REFERENCES

Adeola, G. (2014). Robust Opposition Political Party: A Necessary Institution for Democratic Sustainability in Nigeria. In Adeola, G. L (Ed.), Opposition Political Parties and

Democratisation in Africa. Lagos, ADLA Communications Limited.

Adesola, F. and Akinyemi, L. (2014). Security of Lives of Opposition Elements in Nigeria, in

Adeola, G.L (Ed.), Opposition Political Parties and Democratisation in Africa. Lagos,

ADLA Communications Limited.

African Elections Database, (2015). Elections in Nigeria. Available:

http://africanelections.tripod.com/ng.htmlRetrieved on Tuesday, 18th 2017.

Agbaje, A., Adejumobi, S. (2006). Do votes count? The travail of electoral politics in Nigeria,

Journal of Africa Development, 33(3), 25-44.

- Ake, C. (1973). Explaining Political Instability in New States. *The Journal of Modern African Studies*, Vol. 11, No. 3.
- Anastaplo, G. (2004). Loyal Opposition in a Modern Democracy. *Loyola University Chicago Law Journal*, Volume 35, Issue 4.
- Aribisala, F. (2015). APC body-language of witch-hunting the PDP. *Vanguard Newspaper* November 3.
- Ayoade, J. (2008). Election Administration in Nigeria and the Challenges of the 2007 Election. *Tsoc sci*, 2 (2): 142-151.
- Awofeso, O., Irabor, P. (2016). Party Cross-carpeting in Nigeria's Fourth Republic: Cases and Causes. *Journal of Public Administration and Governance*, Vol. 6, No. 3.
- Awofes, O., Irabor, P. (2017). The Game Theory and the Politics of Cross-carpeting in Nigeria. *Public Policy and Administration Research, Vol. 7, No. 7, 2017.*
- Beyme, K. (1987). Parliamentary Oppositions in Europe. In Eva Kolinsky (Ed.), *Opposition in Western Europe*. London, Croom Helm.
- Bratton, M. (2004). The Alternation Effect in Africa. Journal of Democracy 15 (4): 147-158.
- Burnell, P. (2001). The Party System and Party Politics in Zambia: Continuities Past, Present and Future. ECPR Workshop *party, Party Systems and Democratic Consolidation in Third World*', Grenoble, 6-11 April.
- Cooke, J. (2015). Nigerians Have Voted, Will Political Leaders Listen? Center for Strategic and International Studies.
- Dahl, R. (1973). Polyarchy, Participation and Opposition. New Haven: Yale University Press.
- Dolo, E. (2006). "Opposition parties: Benefits and Challenges in Building Democracy". Available: http://www.theperspective.org/articles/1020200602.html (Accessed 9-17-2008).
- Doorenspleet, R. (2003). Political Parties, Party Systems and Democracy in Sub-Saharan Africa. In M.A. Mohamed Salih (Ed.), *African Political Parties Evolution, Institutionalisation and Governance*. Sterling: Pluto Press.
- Downs, A. (1957). An Economic Theory of Democracy. New York: Harper & Brothers.
- Ebienfa, I. (2014). Opposition Politics and Leadership Infidelity in Africa. In Adeola, G.L (Ed.) Opposition Political Parties and Democratisation in Africa. Lagos, ADLA Communications Limited.
- Ebije, I (2017). Nigeria's Confused Political Parties. The Sun Newspaper, March 30.
- Fashagba, J. (2014). Party Switching in the Senate under Nigeria's Fourth Republic. *The Journal of Legislative Studies*, 20(4), 516-541.

- ISSN: 0976 1195
- Gbadegesin, S. (2015). Ministers and Challenge of Change. The Nation, November 13.
- Hofmeister, W., Grabow, K. (2011). *Political Parties: Functions and Organisation in Democratic Societies*. Singapore: Konrad Adenauer Stiftung.
- Ihonvbere, J. O. (2004). The Obasanjo Second Term in Office: Reinventing and Repositioning Nigeria for Growth, Stability and Democracy. Available: www.westafricareview.com/issue6/ihonvbere.html. Retrieved 26 May, 2017.
- International Institute for Democracy and Electoral Assistance. (2007). Political Parties in Africa: Challenges for Sustained Multiparty Democracy. Africa Regional Report Based on Research and Dialogue with Political Parties.
- Jennings, I. (1959). Parliament. Cambridge University Press.
- Jubril, O. (2017). Is PDP failing as an opposition party? Vanguard Newspaper, February, 15.
- Jung, C., Shapiro, I. (1995). South Africa's Negotiated Transition: Democracy, Opposition and the New Constitutional Order. *Politics & Society* 23(2).
- Lawson, S. (1993). Conceptual Issues in the Comparative Study of Regime Change and Democratization. *Comparative Politics*, 25: 2.
- Lijphart, A. (1999). Patterns of Democracy. Government Forms and Performance in Thirty-Six Countries. New Haven and London: Yale University Press.
- Likoti, F. (2005). Investigating Intra-Party Democracy in Lesotho: Focus on Basutoland Congress Party and Basuto National Party, *EISA Occasional Paper Series*, NO 39.
- Network of Ethiopian Scholars (2005). Scandinavian Chapter Press Release No.6, June 30 Published in Sudan Tribune, June 30. Retrieved on line on 13th March, 2017.
- Michels, R. (1942). Political Parties. Glencoe III, The Free Press.
- Nairaland, (2017). Comparing Jonathan with Buhari Administration-Politics. Available: http://www.nairaland.com/2974957/comparing-jonathan-administration-buhari-administration Retrieved on Tuesday, 18th 2017.
- Obi, A. (2015). Why is Lai Mohammed Struggling? *The Sun Newspaper*, December 31.
- Odaudu, D. (2012). Political Parties and Democratic Sustenance in Nigeria. A Journal of Representative Democracy, Vol. 2.
- Odukoya, A. (2013). Party System and Political Conflicts in Nigeria's Fourth Republic. In A. S. Obiyan and K. Amuwo (Eds.), *Nigeria's Democratic Experience in the FourthRepublic since 1999: Policies and Politics*. Maryland: University Press of America.
- Ojukwu, C., Olaifa, T. (2011). Challenges of Internal democracy in Nigeria's Political Parties: The Bane of Intra-party Conflicts in the Peoples Democratic Party of Nigeria. *Global Journal of Human Social Sciences*, 11(3), Version 1.0.

- Okohue, P. (2013). Cost of Resolving PDP Crisis". *Daily Newswatch*, Available: http://www.mydailynewswatchng.com/2013/09/18/cost_resolving_pdpcrisis. Accessed September 20, 2013.
- Olawole, A. (2015). Jonathan Leading Nigeria to destruction- Rotimi Fashakin. Premium Times, January 12th.
- Omilusi, M. (2017). Putting Government on its Toes: A Vociferous Opposition Party and the Lai Mohammed Phenomenon in Nigeria's Electoral Politics. *International Journal of politics and Good Governance*, Volume VIII, No. 8.1
- Owete, F. (2013). INEC, All Progressives Congress Meet over APC. *Premium Times*, Retrieved 25 March, 2013.
- Punch Newspaper. (February, 2015). Nigeria: Al Haji Lai Mohammed, National Public Secretary, APC Talks About the Party Policies Should it Win Election. Available: www.punchninigeria.com/nigeria-al-haji-lai-mohammed-national-public-secretary-apc/
- Rodee, A. (2000). Introduction to Political Science (4th edition). Auckland: MaGrew Hill Intel.
- Salih, M., Nordlund, P. (2007). Political Parties in Africa: Challenges for Sustained Multiparty Democracy. Africa Regional ReportBased on Research and Dialogue with Political Parties. International IDEA: Stockholm.
- Schmitz, G. (1988). The Opposition in a Parliamentary System.Parliamentary Research Branch, Library of Parliament.
- Sartori, G. (1976). *Parties and Party Systems. A Framework for Analysis*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Webb, D., David M. F. and Holliday, L. (2002). *Political parties in advanced industrial democracies*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.